

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/024,057

Applicant(s)

MONTGOMERY ET AL.

Examiner

Kishor Mayekar

Art Unit

1753

All Participants:(1) Kishor Mayekar.(2) Charles Steffey.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 December 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☐ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 5-10, 12, 14 and 25-27

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***to correct minor informality in the recitation of "composite" in the above claims and to correct proper antecedent basis in claims 11 and 12 by an examiner's amendment.***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) _____